





























Comparing Iterative Deepening with A* From Russell and Norvig, Page 107, Fig 4.8				
	For 8-puzzle, average number of states expanded over 100 randomly chosen problems in which optimal path is length			
	4 steps	8 steps	12 steps	
Iterative Deepening (see previous slides)	112	6,300	3.6 x 10 ⁶	
A* search using "number of misplaced tiles" as the heuristic	13	39	227	
A* using "Sum of Manhattan distances" as the heuristic	12	25	73	

 Andrew's editorial comments At first sight might look like even "number of misplaced tiles" is a great heuristic. But probably h(state)=0 would also do much much better than ID, so the difference is mainly to do with ID's big problem of expanding the same state many times, not the use of a heuristic. Judging solely by "number of states expanded" does not account for overhead of maintaining hash tables and priority queue for A*, though it's pretty clear here that this it dramatically change the results. 					
won t drainationity and o		8 steps	12 steps		
Iterative Deepening (see previous slides)	112	6,300	3.6 x 10 ⁶		
A* search using "number of misplaced tiles" as the heuristic	13	39	227		
A* using "Sum of Manhattan distances" as the heuristic	12	25	73		















Another Example Question Consider the use of the A* algorithm on a search graph with cycles, and assume that this graph does not have negative-length edges. Suppose you are explaining this algorithm to Pat, who is not familiar with AI. After your elaborated explanation of how A* handles cycles, Pat is convinced that A* does a lot of unnecessary work to guarantee that it works properly (i.e. finds the optimal solution) in graphs containing cycles. Pat suggests the following modification to improve the efficiency of the algorithm: Since the graph has cycles, you may detect new cycles from time to time when expanding a node. For example, if you expand nodes A, B, and C shown on figure (a) on the next slide, then after expanding C and noticing that A is also a successor of C, you will detect the cycle A-B-C-A. Every time you notice a cycle, you may remove the last edge of this cycle from the search graph. For example, after expanding C, you can remove the edge C-A (see figure (b) on next slide). Then, if A* visits node C again in the process of further search, it will not need to traverse this useless edge the second time. continued next slide Slide 25

